Open Horizon Scientific Review

Vol. 03 No.01 2025

Advances in Electrochemical Sensors for Rapid Chemical Analysis

Noman Habib Awan

Department of Chemistry, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan

ABSTRACT

Electrochemical sensors convert a chemical interaction at an electrode into an electrical signal, offering fast response, high sensitivity, low cost and easy miniaturisation. These features make them attractive for rapid chemical analysis in environmental monitoring, food safety, clinical diagnostics and industrial control. This article reviews recent advances in the field, beginning with the principles of electrochemical sensing and the main measurement techniques, including voltammetry, amperometry, potentiometry and impedance spectroscopy. The role of electrode materials and nanomaterial modification, the use of enzymes, antibodies, aptamers and imprinted polymers as recognition elements, and the development of screen-printed and flexible devices are discussed. Applications and performance criteria are examined, together with the management of interference in complex samples. A representative amperometric experiment illustrates how current responds to successive analyte additions, and the values shown are illustrative. The article concludes that continued progress in materials, device engineering and data processing is moving electrochemical sensors from laboratory tools to dependable field and point-of-care instruments.

Key words: *Electrochemical sensors, Voltammetry, Screen-printed electrodes, Nanomaterials, Rapid analysis*

Introduction:

Rapid and reliable chemical measurement is needed in many areas of daily life. Water utilities must check for toxic metals and nitrate, food producers must detect pesticide residues and adulterants, hospitals depend on quick tests for glucose and cardiac markers, and manufacturers monitor reaction progress and effluent quality. Traditional instrumental methods such as chromatography and atomic spectroscopy are accurate but are expensive, require trained operators and are largely confined to laboratories.

Electrochemical sensors offer an alternative. Their operation relies on measuring current, potential or impedance at an electrode in contact with the sample, and the instruments needed are small, inexpensive and low in power consumption. The glucose meter, the most widely used chemical sensor in the world, is an electrochemical device, and its success shows how well the approach fits mass-market, point-of-use analysis. For countries such as Pakistan,

with large populations and limited laboratory infrastructure, such devices have special value for health and environmental surveillance.

Recent decades have brought dramatic improvements through new electrode materials, nanostructures, biological recognition elements and manufacturing methods such as screen printing and inkjet printing. This article describes the main principles and techniques, surveys materials and platforms, considers applications, discusses analytical performance and interferences, and identifies the challenges and likely future directions of the field.

1. Principles of Electrochemical Sensing

An electrochemical sensor generally consists of a working electrode, where the analyte reacts or binds, a reference electrode that provides a stable potential and, in three-electrode systems, a counter electrode that completes the circuit. The sensing layer on the working electrode provides selectivity, while the transducer converts the chemical event into a measurable electrical signal.

The signal is governed by fundamental relationships. In faradaic processes, electrons are transferred between the analyte and the electrode, and the current is proportional to concentration according to Faraday law and the rate of mass transport. The rate of electron transfer depends on the electrode potential and surface properties, while diffusion of the analyte to the surface determines the maximum current, as described in classic treatments of electrochemical methods. In non-faradaic processes, the signal arises from changes in the electrical double layer or in potential without net electron transfer.

The interface between electrode and solution is central. Its structure, the nature of the surface and the presence of films or recognition layers control sensitivity, selectivity and stability. Good sensor design therefore requires attention to electrode material, surface area, cleanliness, wettability and the compatibility of any biological or chemical modifier with the electrode and the sample.

2. Voltammetric and Amperometric Techniques

Voltammetry measures current while the electrode potential is varied. Cyclic voltammetry is widely used to explore redox behaviour and electrode kinetics, as the position and shape of peaks reveal the reversibility of reactions and the number of electrons transferred. For quantitative analysis, pulse methods such as differential pulse and square wave voltammetry are preferred, because they minimise charging current and give

much lower detection limits, often at nanomolar levels.

Stripping voltammetry is especially powerful for trace metals. The analyte is first preconcentrated on the electrode by deposition, then stripped back into solution while current is recorded, which amplifies the signal by factors of hundreds. Anodic stripping with bismuth or mercury-free electrodes detects lead, cadmium, zinc and copper at microgram-per-litre concentrations in water, making it suitable for environmental regulation.

Amperometry holds the electrode at a fixed potential and measures current as a function of time.

It is simple, fast and well suited to continuous monitoring and to enzyme-based biosensors, where the product of the enzymatic reaction is oxidised or reduced at the electrode. The figure below shows a representative amperometric response in which the current rises in steps with successive additions of the analyte and settles quickly after each, which is the behaviour that makes calibration and rapid readout possible.

Representative illustrative values for a model amperometric experiment, not experimental data

The speed of response depends on the electrode, the mixing and the thickness of any coating on it, and typical values are a few seconds. The linear relationship between plateau current and concentration allows a calibration to be built, and the noise of the baseline sets the detection limit. Choice of potential is a compromise, since higher potentials increase signal but also oxidise interfering species such as ascorbic and uric acid.

3. Potentiometric and Impedimetric Sensors

Potentiometric sensors measure the potential difference between an indicator electrode and a reference electrode at near zero current. Ion-selective electrodes, based on membranes that respond selectively to particular ions, are the best known examples, with the glass pH electrode, the fluoride electrode and potassium and calcium sensors in routine use. The potential varies logarithmically with ion activity according to the Nernst equation, which gives a wide dynamic range.

Advances in membrane design have enabled detection at trace levels. By controlling ion fluxes across the membrane, using inner solutions with low primary ion content or solid-contact designs, detection limits for some ions have been pushed into the nanomolar and even picomolar range. Solid-contact electrodes, which replace the inner solution with conducting polymers or carbon nanomaterials, are robust and easy to miniaturise and are suited to wearable and disposable formats.

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy applies a small alternating potential over a range of frequencies and measures the resulting current, giving information on resistance and capacitance of the interface. It is a label-free technique that is highly sensitive to binding events, because adsorption of biomolecules changes charge transfer resistance, and it is widely used in immunosensors and aptasensors. Interpretation uses equivalent circuit models, and care is needed to ensure that fitted parameters correspond to real physical processes.

4. Electrode Materials and Nanomaterial Modification

The electrode material determines the available potential window, background current and kinetics. Glassy carbon, carbon paste, boron-doped diamond and screen-printed carbon are common, as are gold, platinum and, more recently, bismuth and antimony films that replace toxic mercury. Boron-doped diamond offers a wide window and extraordinary resistance to fouling, but its cost limits wide use.

Nanomaterials have transformed electrode design. Carbon nanotubes and graphene provide high surface area, rapid electron transfer and easy functionalisation, and their use in sensors has been reviewed widely. Metal nanoparticles such as gold, silver and platinum catalyse reactions and enhance current, while metal oxides, including zinc oxide, copper oxide and manganese oxide, offer catalytic activity for glucose, hydrogen peroxide and other species at low cost.

Newer materials include metal-organic frameworks, conducting polymers, MXenes and covalent organic frameworks, which combine porosity with conductivity and tunable chemistry. Modifications are applied by drop casting, electrodeposition, in situ growth or printing, and reproducibility of the layer is a key challenge. Synergistic composites, such as graphene decorated with nanoparticles, often outperform single components, though the added complexity must be balanced against cost and stability.

5. Biosensors and Molecular Recognition Elements

Selectivity in complex samples is often achieved with biological recognition. Enzyme electrodes, pioneered with the glucose oxidase sensor of Clark and Lyons, couple an enzymatic reaction to an electrode signal, and modern designs use direct or mediated electron transfer to avoid dependence on oxygen. Glucose sensors have evolved through several generations to reach continuous monitoring devices worn on the body.

Immunosensors use antibodies to capture specific antigens, with detection by labels, such as enzymes, or by label-

free impedance or voltammetric changes. Aptamers, short single-stranded nucleic acids selected for binding to targets from metals to proteins, offer advantages of stability, low cost and chemical modification, and structure-switching aptamers produce a signal upon binding without added labels.

Synthetic receptors provide robust alternatives. Molecularly imprinted polymers contain cavities complementary in shape and functionality to the target, and they tolerate harsh conditions that would destroy biological molecules. Nucleic acid amplification, nanozymes that mimic enzyme activity and CRISPR-based recognition are further strategies that expand the range of targets and increase sensitivity.

6. Screen-Printed and Flexible Sensor Platforms

Screen printing deposits conductive and insulating inks through a patterned mesh onto ceramic or plastic substrates, producing complete three-electrode cells at very low cost and in large numbers. Each strip is disposable, which removes the need for cleaning and eliminates carry-over, and the small area needs only microlitre sample volumes. Printed carbon, gold and silver inks are commonly used, and the surfaces can be modified with nanomaterials or biological layers.

Paper-based electrochemical devices take this further, using hydrophobic barriers to define channels on paper and printing electrodes on the surface. They are inexpensive, biodegradable and able to wick samples without pumps, which suits resource-limited settings. Inkjet printing, laser-induced graphene and three-dimensional printing allow rapid prototyping and customised designs.

Flexible and stretchable sensors on polymer films, textiles and skin-like substrates enable wearable monitoring. Patch sensors can measure sweat electrolytes, glucose and lactate, and tattoo-based devices have been demonstrated for non-invasive analysis. Challenges include maintaining stable electrical contact during motion, ensuring biocompatibility and calibrating for variations in skin conditions and sweat rate.

7. Applications in Environmental, Food and Clinical Analysis

In environmental monitoring, electrochemical sensors measure heavy metals, nitrate, phosphate, pesticides, phenols and dissolved oxygen in rivers, groundwater and industrial effluents. Portable stripping analysers allow on-site testing of lead and arsenic in drinking water, which is a significant concern in regions where groundwater is contaminated, and sensor networks can

provide continuous data on water quality.

Food safety applications include detection of pesticide residues through enzyme inhibition, antibiotic residues, mycotoxins, pathogens, preservatives and adulterants such as melamine in milk. Rapid tests help producers and inspectors screen products before they reach markets, and sensors for freshness and fermentation support quality control in the food industry.

Clinical applications dominate commercial success. Glucose meters, lactate analysers, blood gas and electrolyte sensors, and rapid tests for cardiac troponin, hormones and infectious disease markers are examples. Electrochemical detection also supports therapeutic drug monitoring, and in industry it is used in process control, corrosion monitoring and battery and fuel cell diagnostics.

8. Performance Evaluation and Interference Management

A sensor must be characterised by clear metrics. Sensitivity is the slope of the calibration curve, the linear range defines the span of concentrations over which response is proportional, and the limit of detection is commonly three times the standard deviation of the blank divided by sensitivity. Response time, repeatability, reproducibility between electrodes, storage stability and operational lifetime are equally important for practical use.

Selectivity and interference are the principal difficulties in real samples. Electroactive species such as ascorbic acid, uric acid, and paracetamol can overlap with the target signal, while proteins and surfactants foul the electrode surface and reduce activity. Remedies include permselective membranes such as Nafion or cellulose acetate, which reject charged or large interferents, operation at lower potentials using catalysts, differential measurements with a reference electrode lacking the recognition element, and sample pretreatment.

Validation with real samples is essential. Recoveries of spiked samples and comparison with a reference method such as liquid chromatography or atomic absorption should be reported, and statistical tests should confirm agreement. Calibration drift, temperature dependence and the effects of pH and ionic strength should also be tested so that the sensor can be used with confidence outside the laboratory.

9. Challenges, Miniaturisation and Future Directions

Despite impressive progress, several challenges remain. Many published sensors show excellent performance in buffers but are not tested in complex matrices, and batch-to-batch variation of nanomaterial modifications

limits manufacturing reproducibility. Long-term stability of biological elements, fouling and the need for calibration are further obstacles to widespread commercial adoption, and regulatory pathways for medical sensors add cost and time.

Miniaturisation continues to open new possibilities. Microelectrode arrays offer enhanced mass transport and reduced capacitive current, microfluidic chips integrate sample handling with detection, and wireless potentiostats connected to smartphones bring quantitative measurement to the field. Implantable and ingestible sensors are under development, with biocompatible and bioresorbable materials to avoid long-term retention.

Data science will also play a growing role. Machine learning applied to voltammograms and arrays of partially selective electrodes, so-called electronic tongues, can resolve mixtures and compensate for interference and drift. Together with sustainable materials, standardised testing and wider collaboration between chemists, engineers and end users, these developments should extend rapid electrochemical analysis from specialised laboratories into everyday practice.

Summary

This article reviewed advances in electrochemical sensors for rapid chemical analysis. Voltammetric, amperometric, potentiometric and impedimetric methods offer complementary ways to translate chemical information into electrical signals, and the performance of each depends on the electrode interface. Carbon materials, metal nanoparticles, oxides and porous frameworks improve sensitivity and catalytic activity, while enzymes, antibodies, aptamers and imprinted polymers provide selectivity. Screen-printed, paper-based and flexible platforms make devices inexpensive, portable and wearable, supporting applications in environmental, food and clinical analysis. Careful characterisation, interference control and validation with real samples are needed to turn laboratory results into reliable tools, and continued progress in materials, miniaturisation and data analysis will further expand their role.

References:

- Bakker, E., & Pretsch, E. (2005). Potentiometric sensors for trace-level analysis. TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry, 24, 199–207.
- Bard, A. J., & Faulkner, L. R. (2001). Electrochemical Methods: Fundamentals and Applications (2nd ed.). Wiley, New York.
- Clark, L. C., & Lyons, C. (1962). Electrode systems for continuous monitoring in cardiovascular surgery. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 102, 29–45.
- Hanrahan, G., Patil, D. G., & Wang, J. (2004). Electrochemical sensors for environmental monitoring: design, development and applications. Journal of Environmental Monitoring, 6, 657–664.
- Katz, E., Willner, I., & Wang, J. (2004). Electroanalytical and bioelectroanalytical systems based on metal and semiconductor nanoparticles. Electroanalysis, 16, 19–44.
- Kimmel, D. W., LeBlanc, G., Meschievitz, M. E., & Cliffel, D. E. (2012). Electrochemical sensors and biosensors. Analytical Chemistry, 84, 685–707.
- Lvovich, V. F. (2012). Impedance Spectroscopy: Applications to Electrochemical and Dielectric Phenomena. Wiley, Hoboken.
- Pumera, M., Ambrosi, A., Bonanni, A., Chng, E. L. K., & Poh, H. L. (2010). Graphene for electrochemical sensing and biosensing. TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry, 29, 954–965.
- Wang, J. (2006). Analytical Electrochemistry (3rd ed.). Wiley-VCH, Hoboken.
- Wang, J. (2008). Electrochemical glucose biosensors. Chemical Reviews, 108, 814–825.
- Windmiller, J. R., & Wang, J. (2013). Wearable electrochemical sensors and biosensors: a review. Electroanalysis, 25, 29–46.
- Randles, J. E. B. (1948). A cathode ray polarograph. Part II. The current-voltage curves. Transactions of the Faraday Society, 44, 327–338.